Imperial College London



ZOE LEIBOWITZ, RHODRI NELSON, FABIO LUPORINI, MATHIAS LOUBOUTIN, MATTHEW G. KNEPLEY, LAWRENCE MITCHELL, GERARD GORMAN

1. MOTIVATION AND GOALS

- Porting traditional solvers onto new hardware is difficult and time-intensive.
- An effective strategy is to raise the level of abstraction by using **domain-specific** languages (DSLs).
- **Devito** [1,2] is a DSL and compiler for the automated generation of optimised finite differences across several computer platforms, supporting explicit time marching schemes.
- Initially focused on seismic inversion problems, Devito is broadening its scope to tackle challenges in **Computational** Fluid Dynamics (CFD).
- Core Goal: Integrate matrix-free routines into Devito to automate the execution of PETSc's [3] iterative solvers, facilitating support for **implicit** kernels.



Distance (m Figure 1: Anisotropic elastic wave propagation featuring immersed free-surface topography in Devito.



AUTOMATIC PETSC CODE GENERATION FOR FINITE DIFFERENCES

3.1. PROOF OF CONCEPT - SETUP

- We solve the 2D lid-driven cavity flow problem. The Devito API is extended to efficiently solve for the pressure field at each time step with an iterative solver.
- The governing equations are the 2D incompressible Navier-Stokes equations in primitive variables. Two equations govern the velocity components *u*, *v* and one equation governs the pressure *p*:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \nu \left[\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \right],$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} + \nu \left[\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} \right],$$
(2.1)
(2.2)

 $\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial y^2} = \rho \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) - \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + 2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) \right],$ (2.3)

where ρ is the density and ν is the kinematic viscosity.

• The domain is given by $\Omega = (x, y) \in (0, 1) \times (0, 1)$, p=0 at x = y = 0, and the boundary conditions are as follows:

$$y = 0, 1 \quad 0 \le y \le 1 \quad u = v = \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} = 0, \qquad y = 0 \quad 0 \le x \le 1 \quad u = v = \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} = 0, \qquad y = 1 \quad 0 \le x \le 1 \quad u = 1, \quad v = \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} = 0.$$

3.2. PROOF OF CONCEPT - API

As demonstrated in Section 2, the Grid object is used to setup the discretised domain. The fields u, v, and p are encapsulated within the DSL via Function/TimeFunction objects. Then, we symbolically express equations 2.1–2.3 as follows:

 $eq_u = Eq(u.dt + u*u.dx + v*u.dy, -1./rho * p.dxc + nu*(u.laplace))$ $eq_v = Eq(v.dt + u*v.dx + v*v.dy, -1./rho * p.dyc + nu*(v.laplace))$ $eq_p = Eq(p.laplace, rho*(1./dt*(u.dxc+v.dyc)-(u.dxc*u.dxc)+2*(u.dyc*v.dxc)+(v.dyc*v.dyc)))$

These equations are then rearranged to denote a valid state update for each field. The velocities u and v are updated explicitly in time.

update_u = Eq(u.forward, solve(eq_u, u.forward)) $update_v = Eq(v.forward, solve(eq_v, v.forward))$

We employ a new API object, PETScSolve, to trigger the lowering to PETSc and iteratively solve for the pressure field *p*.

The solver and preconditioner types are specifed using the solver_parameters argument. update_p = PETScSolve(eq_p, p, solver_parameters={'ksp_type': 'gmres', 'pc_type': 'jacobi'})

Similarly to Section 2, a Devito Operator is created by passing in the update expressions. Following this, the code is JIT compiled and executed. **Note**: Implementation details for boundary conditions are omitted for conciseness.

3.3. PROOF OF CONCEPT - LOW LEVEL CODE

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	C code solving the lid-driven cavity flow problem: Snippet (utilising
<pre>or (Int time = time_m, to = (time)/(2), ti =) PetscCall(KSPSolve(ksp,b,p)); for (int x = x_m; x <= x_M; x += 1) { for (int y = y_m; y <= y_M; y += 1) { u[t1][x + 2][y + 2] = dt*(nu*(u[t0][x +;]]]]] </pre>	Type(da,MATSHELL));PetscErMatrix(da,&A));{etContext(A, ctx));(PEISC_COMM_WORLD,&ksp));Petsce(ksp,KSPGMRES));strucetOperation(A,MATOP_MULT,matvec);Petsc
v[t1][x + 2][y + 2] = dt * (nu * (v[t0][x +;)) }	$e(ksp,b,p)); x <= x_M; x += 1) for ($

of the (matrix-vector) callback used in solving equation 2.3 a matrix-free method).

crorCode matvec(Mat A, Vec x, Vec y)

cScalar** x_arr; cScalar** y_arr; ct MatContext * ctx; cCall(MatShellGetContext(A,&ctx));

cCall(DMDAVecGetArrayRead(da,x_local,&x_arr));

 $(int x = ctx - x_m; x < ctx - x_M; x + 1)$

 $(int y = ctx ->y_m; y <= ctx ->y_M; y += 1)$

y_arr[x][y] = -2.0F*pow(ctx->h_x,-2)*x_arr[x][y] $pow(ctx - h_x, -2) * x_arr[x - 1][y] +$ $sow(ctx - h_x, -2) * x_arr[x + 1][y] + ...;$







3.4. PROOF OF CONCEPT - VALIDATION



Figure 2: Contour plots of horizontal velocity u (left) and vertical



Figure 3: Validtion - Comparing Devito + PETSc solution with Marchi et al.(2009) [4]. *u* at x=0.5 (left), *v* at y=0.5 (right).

4. FUTURE DIRECTION

• Extend the application areas of Devito to CFD based problems such as simulating fluid flow in the context of

• Optimise the Devito compiler such that it can generate code that beats hand-

• Efficient solvers in the realm of CFD will involve the implementation of scalable non-linear solvers (via the SNES library [3]) and support for, e.g, multi-grid methods (using PCMG [3]).



https://pixabay.com/photos/wind-energ -wind-turbines-windmills-7394705/

5. REFERENCES

[1] Louboutin, Mathias, et al. "Devito (v3. 1.0): an embedded domain-specific language for finite differences and geophysical exploration." Geoscientific Model Development 12.3

[2] Luporini, Fabio, et al. "Architecture and performance of Devito, a system for automated stencil computation." ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software (TOMS) 46.1 (2020): 1-28. [3] PETSc Web Page. Satish Balay et al. 2023. [Online]. Available: https://petsc.org/

[4] Carlos Henrique Marchi, et al. The lid-driven square cavity flow: numerical solution with a 1024 x 1024 grid. Journal of the Brazilian Society of Mechanical Sciences and Engineering,





